## INTERNATIONAL A LEVEL

## Statistics 3

## Exercise 5A

1 a The data in the scatter graph appear to be linear, and the product moment correlation coefficient is more suitable for linear correlation.
b Spearman's rank correlation coefficient is easier to calculate.

2 The data is non-linear.

3 The number of attempts taken to score a free throw is not normally distributed (it is geometric), so the researcher should use Spearman's rank correlation coefficient.

4 a The data are ranked. There are no tied ranks. The table shows $d$ and $d^{2}$ for each pair of ranks:

| $\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ | $\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{y}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | -2 | 4 |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 4 | 5 | -1 | 1 |
| 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  |  |  |
|  | 10 |  |  |

$r_{s}=1-\frac{6 \sum d^{2}}{n\left(n^{2}-1\right)}=1-\frac{6 \times 10}{6\left(6^{2}-1\right)}=1-0.28571 \ldots=0.714$ (3 s.f.)
There is limited evidence of positive correlation between the pairs of ranks. This value is between weak and strong positive correlation.
b There are no tied ranks. The table shows $d$ and $d^{2}$ for each pair of ranks:

| $\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ | $\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{y}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | -1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 4 | -1 | 1 |
| 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 8 | -2 | 4 |
| 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 9 | -1 | 1 |
| 9 | 6 | 3 | 9 |
| 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  |  |  |
|  |  | 18 |  |
|  |  |  |  |

$r_{s}=1-\frac{6 \sum d^{2}}{n\left(n^{2}-1\right)}=1-\frac{6 \times 18}{10\left(10^{2}-1\right)}=1-0.10909 \ldots=0.891$ ( 3 s.f.)
There is fairly strong positive correlation between the pairs of ranks.

## INTERNATIONAL A LEVEL

## Statistics 3

4 c There are no tied ranks. The table shows $d$ and $d^{2}$ for each pair of ranks:

| $\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ | $\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{y}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 6 | -4 | 16 |
| 6 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| 1 | 8 | -7 | 49 |
| 4 | 7 | -3 | 9 |
| 3 | 4 | -1 | 1 |
| 7 | 2 | 5 | 25 |
| 8 | 1 | 7 | 49 |
| Total |  |  |  |
|  | 158 |  |  |

$r_{s}=1-\frac{6 \sum d^{2}}{n\left(n^{2}-1\right)}=1-\frac{6 \times 158}{8\left(8^{2}-1\right)}=1-1.88095 \ldots=-0.881$ (3 s.f.)
There is fairly strong negative correlation between the pairs of ranks.
5 a The data is positively correlated and ranked, therefore $r_{s}=1$.
b The data is clearly correlated, and has a negative trend, therefore this case corresponds to the only negative value, $r_{s}=-1$.
c The data is strongly correlated, and ranked with only one outlier, so $r_{s}$ is close to 1, i.e. $r_{s}=0.9$.
d This data set is more scattered than the others and there is no clear trend, so $r_{s}=0.5$.
6 a The table shows the ranking for goals scored $\left(r_{g}\right)$ (the league position is the ranking in the league $r_{l}$ ) and then $d$ and $d^{2}$ for each pair of ranks:

| Goals | $\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{g}}$ | $\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{l}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 44 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 43 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| 40 | 4 | 5 | -1 | 1 |
| 39 | 5 | 6 | -1 | 1 |
| 29 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 4 |
| 21 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 16 |
| 28 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| 30 | 8 | 10 | -2 | 4 |
| 33 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 16 |
| 26 | 11 | 12 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | Total |  |  |
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6 b There are no tied ranks, and $d^{2}=48$, so:

$$
r_{s}=1-\frac{6 \sum d^{2}}{n\left(n^{2}-1\right)}=1-\frac{6 \times 48}{12\left(12^{2}-1\right)}=1-0.16783 \ldots=0.832(3 \text { s.f. })
$$

This shows fairly strong positive correlation between the pairs of ranks. This suggests that the more goals a team scores, the higher its league position is likely to be.

7 There are no tied ranks. The table shows $d$ and $d^{2}$ for each pair of ranks:

| $\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{Q}}$ | $\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{T}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 5 | -2 | 4 |
| 4 | 6 | -2 | 4 |
| 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| 7 | 8 | -1 | 1 |
| 8 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| Total |  |  |  |
|  | 20 |  |  |

$r_{s}=1-\frac{6 \sum d^{2}}{n\left(n^{2}-1\right)}=1-\frac{6 \times 20}{8\left(8^{2}-1\right)}=1-0.23809 \ldots=0.762$ ( 3 s.f.)
There is fairly strong positive correlation between the pairs of ranks. This suggests the trainee vet is rating the rabbits for overall health in a similar way to the qualified vet.

8 a The marks are discrete values drawn from a specified scale in order to rank the competitors.
b The table shows the ranks of each judge and $d$ and $d^{2}$ for each pair of ranks:

| $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{r}_{\mathbf{J}}$ | $\boldsymbol{r}_{\mathbf{J} 2}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.8 | 8.1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.6 | 6.8 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 1 |
| 7.3 | 8.2 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 16 |
| 7.4 | 7.5 | 6 | 7 | -1 | 1 |
| 8.4 | 8.0 | 3 | 5 | -2 | 4 |
| 6.5 | 6.7 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| 8.9 | 8.5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.5 | 8.3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.7 | 6.6 | 8 | 10 | -2 | 4 |
| 7.7 | 7.8 | 5 | 6 | -1 | 1 |

$r_{s}=1-\frac{6 \sum d^{2}}{n\left(n^{2}-1\right)}=1-\frac{6 \times 28}{10\left(10^{2}-1\right)}=1-0.16969 \ldots=0.830$ ( 3 s.f.)
There is a strong positive correlation between the marks, hence the two judges agree well.
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8 c Now there is a tied rank for the value 7.7 for competitors $A$ and $J$, and we should give each of the equal values a rank equal to the average of their ranks, which would be 4.5 .

9 a The scores are used to rank the participants, and are not likely to be normally distributed.
b The table shows the ranks of each judge (using averages where scores are tied in rank) and $d$ and $d^{2}$ for each pair of ranks:

| $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{r}_{\mathbf{J} \mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{r}_{\mathbf{J} \mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.5 | 5.2 | 1 | 5 | -4 | 16 |
| 5.1 | 4.8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5.2 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 2 | 1.5 | 2.25 |
| 5.2 | 5.1 | 3.5 | 4 | -0.5 | 0.25 |
| 5.4 | 5.0 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| 5.7 | 5.3 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.8 | 5.4 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23.5 |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
r_{s}=1-\frac{6 \sum d^{2}}{n\left(n^{2}-1\right)}=1-\frac{6 \times 23.5}{7\left(7^{2}-1\right)}=1-0.41964 \ldots=0.580(3 \text { s.f. })
$$

c Both show positive correlation, but the judges agree more on the second dive.

